





The Case: The Establishment of the Supreme Court

Framing Summary

The following case about the establishment of the Supreme Court in New South Wales highlights the importance of having checks and balances in place (such as an independent judiciary and free press) to restrain the power of the Governor and to ensure that the rule of law is universally upheld. In doing so, this case illustrates how a separation of powers continues to protect our individual rights and freedoms, even today.

Case Summary

- In 1788, the First Fleet arrived in Australia. The British government established New South Wales as a penal colony for sentenced criminals, intending it to be a place of punishment and reformation, and for transportation to evoke real terror.
- However, by the time that Ralph Darling arrived in New South Wales in December of 1825, the situation was vastly different. Instead of NSW being a terrifying place that convicts feared going to, the colony was being run as an open and free society – where it was difficult to determine who was a convict, and who was free.
- Realising this, the British government decided that things needed to change and sent in
 military man, Ralph Darling, to rectify the situation. Governor Darling was instructed by Earl
 Bathurst to "get tough on convicts" and "pull the colony into shape." In sum, he was tasked
 with turning NSW into the proper penal colony it was always intended to be.
- Darling was a professional soldier, from a military background who was used to people obeying his orders and adhering to strict hierarchies. He transferred this military mindset to the way he governed the colony – expecting the convicts to submit to his authority and to obey his every word.
- But Governor Darling wielded excessive power with inadequate 'checks' in place to regulate him. In fact, in the early days of the New South Wales colony, the Governor's word often had the effect of law. The only official 'check' on his power was the British government yet they were ineffective, as due to their distant location, it took more than a year to get a reply by mail. So, Darling could basically govern as he pleased.
- The 1823 NSW Act (passed by the British parliament just prior to Darling's arrival), however, had started to change things. It not only created important new institutions like the Legislative assembly, Executive Council and the Supreme Court, but also provided a muchneeded 'check' on Darling's power as Darling was forced to consult with these institutions, rather than creating laws as he saw fit.

- At the head of this newly established Supreme Court was Francis Forbes the first
 Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of NSW. Forbes had an extensive knowledge of the law
 and was determined to uphold the 1823 NSW Act, even when he encountered pressures
 from Governor Darling to disregard the law. As Chief Justice, his role was to keep the
 Governor's power in 'check' and to protect the freedoms of those in the NSW colony. He
 acts independently of Governor Darling and must resist infringements on these freedoms,
 and overall, must remain impartial.
- In 1826, two soldiers Joseph Sudds and Patrick Thompson decide that life would be more enjoyable if they get discharged from the army and become convicts. So, the pair steal some fabrics from a Sydney shopkeeper, intending to be caught.
- Their desire to become convicts enraged Governor Darling, as it exposed that he was failing to convert the colony into a place of salutary terror.
- So, Governor Darling decides to make an example of the pair and steps in to worsen the sentence, commuting it to a flogging and assigning them to seven years of hard labour in the chain gain. Darling however was unaware that Sudds was already seriously ill, and he dies of fever a few days later in hospital.
- Darling's treatment of Sudds and Thompson horrifies the Australian newspapers who wage
 a public campaign against Governor Darling labelling him a tyrant and criticising him for
 inflicting such cruel and vicious punishments. Governor Darling is not used to his authority
 being questioned or in 'check' and strongly dislikes when newspapers like 'The Australian'
 criticise him. So, Darling wants to restrain the press' freedom in publishing negative
 comments.
- As such, Darling wants to introduce a press licensing law that would force people to apply directly to the Governor. This would allow him to control the newspapers and to cancel licenses if defamatory material about him or his administration was published.
- But Forbes does not agree with Darling's perspective that an annual license should be introduced and argues that the freedom of the press is a constitutional privilege which cannot be denied.
- Nonetheless, in April 1827, Governor Darling submits two draft bills to the Executive council.
 The first aimed to regulate newspaper publishers and to restrict their ability to publish
 negative content about him by implementing a licensing system. The second bill sought to
 impose a newspaper stamp duty.
- Forbes argues against Darling's attempt to impose the license system, claiming that:
 - The measure is repugnant to the law.
 - The colony is not in immediate danger despite the claims of Darling that it is
 - The annual license would destroy the freedom of the press and place it at the discretion of the government.